

Message

From: Dravis, Samantha [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ECE53F0610054E669D9DFFE0B3A842DF-DRAVIS, SAM]
Sent: 3/30/2017 4:41:57 PM
To: swill@ruleoflawdefensefund.org; apiper@ruleoflawdefensefund.org
CC: Bennett, Tate [Bennett.Tate@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Sec. Pruitt Writes Governors on CPP
Attachments: McAuliffe.pdf; Sandoval.pdf

Scott and Adam:

We wanted to let you know that Administrator Pruitt is today sending the attached letter to all U.S. Governors regarding the EPA's current posture on the CPP that I believe state Attorneys General would be interested in reading as well. Tate Bennett in our office of Congressional and Intergovernmental Affairs can provide more information on this letter and answer any questions.

All the Best,
Samantha



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

THE ADMINISTRATOR

March 30, 2017

The Honorable Terry McAuliffe
Governor of Virginia
State Capitol
Third Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219

Dear Governor McAuliffe:

On February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court of the United States stayed implementation of the Clean Power Plan (CPP) effectively "suspend[ing] administrative alteration of the status quo." *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 428 n.1 (2009). Further, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, the Supreme Court has authority to "issue all necessary and appropriate process to postpone the effective date of an agency action or to preserve status or rights pending conclusion of the review proceedings." 5 U.S.C. § 705.

Under that precedent, States and other interested parties have neither been required nor expected to work towards meeting the compliance dates set in the CPP. It is the policy of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that States have no obligation to spend resources to comply with a Rule that has been stayed by the Supreme Court of the United States. To the extent any deadlines become relevant in the future, case law and past practice of the EPA supports the application of day-to-day tolling.

The days of coercive federalism are over. Accordingly, I look forward to working with you, your state experts and local communities as we develop a path forward to improve our environment and bolster the economy in a manner that is respectful of and consistent with the rule of law.

Respectfully yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Scott Pruitt", with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

E. Scott Pruitt



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

THE ADMINISTRATOR

March 30, 2017

The Honorable Brian Sandoval
Governor of Nevada
Capitol Building
Carson City, Nevada 89701

Dear Governor Sandoval:

On February 9, 2016, the Supreme Court of the United States stayed implementation of the Clean Power Plan (CPP) effectively "suspend[ing] administrative alteration of the status quo." *Nken v. Holder*, 556 U.S. 418, 428 n.1 (2009). Further, pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act, the Supreme Court has authority to "issue all necessary and appropriate process to postpone the effective date of an agency action or to preserve status or rights pending conclusion of the review proceedings." 5 U.S.C. § 705.

Under that precedent, States and other interested parties have neither been required nor expected to work towards meeting the compliance dates set in the CPP. It is the policy of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) that States have no obligation to spend resources to comply with a Rule that has been stayed by the Supreme Court of the United States. To the extent any deadlines become relevant in the future, case law and past practice of the EPA supports the application of day-to-day tolling.

The days of coercive federalism are over. Accordingly, I look forward to working with you, your state experts and local communities as we develop a path forward to improve our environment and bolster the economy in a manner that is respectful of and consistent with the rule of law.

Respectfully yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "E. Scott Pruitt", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

E. Scott Pruitt

Message

From: Dravis, Samantha [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=ECE53F0610054E669D9DFFE0B3A842DF-DRAVIS, SAM]
Sent: 4/24/2017 6:37:42 PM
To: swimer@republicanags.com
CC: Minoli, Kevin [Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: FW: RNLA Keynote Invitation to General Pruitt

Seth,

Thank you for your email. I am recused from all matters related to RAGA in my new position at EPA, and I cannot participate in any requests from the organization.

As such, please direct this and any future invitations to Sydney Hupp in the scheduling department at hupp.sydney@epa.gov or to Ryan Jackson, the EPA Chief of Staff, at Jackson.ryan@epa.gov.

Thank you Seth!

All the Best,
Samantha

From: Samantha Dravis **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**
Sent: Friday, April 21, 2017 9:49 AM
To: Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>
Cc: Minoli, Kevin <Minoli.Kevin@epa.gov>
Subject: Fwd: FW: RNLA Keynote Invitation to General Pruitt

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Seth Wimer <swimer@republicanags.com>
Date: Tue, Apr 18, 2017 at 3:29 PM
Subject: FW: RNLA Keynote Invitation to General Pruitt
To: **Samantha Dravis Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**

Sam! Hope you're doing great and having a blast in the new job! I'm forwarding an invitation for Pruitt to speak at RNLA. Hopefully you can get this to the right place but if there's someone else I should send it to, or have JC send it to, let me know. Thanks a lot!

S-

Seth Wimer

Political Director

Republican Attorneys General Association

Direct: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

From: "Boggs, J. C." <JBoggs@KSLAW.com>
Date: Tuesday, April 18, 2017 at 2:20 PM
To: Seth Wimer <swimer@republicanags.com>
Subject: RNLA Keynote Invitation to General Pruitt

Seth – per my voice mail, can you share this with Samantha Dravis or anyone else you know in the Pruitt inner circle?

Thanks, J.C.

King & Spalding Confidentiality Notice:

This message is being sent by or on behalf of a lawyer. It is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged or confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.